

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/074,597 02/11/2002 Shawn Nelson 15605.1 4845 EXAMINER 22913 7590 01/06/2004 WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & VU, STEPHEN A SEELEY) PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 3636 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/074,597		NELSON, SHAWN	V
	Examiner		Art Unit	
•	Stephen A V		3636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/4	<u>4/03 & 9/3/03</u> .			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non	-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16,35 and 36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) he drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been ents have been riority documen eau (PCT Rule ist of the certific estic priority und first sentence of provisional applestic priority undestic priority undestication in the priority undestication in the priority undestication in the priority undestination in the prior	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or lication has been received.	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s 	5	i) Interview Summary iii Notice of Informal Policy iii Other:		

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 17-34 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 7. Please note that claim 30 was labeled twice by the applicant. Therefore, the second occurrence of claim 30 has now been renumbered as 31, and the rest of claims 31-35 have been now been renumbered as 32-36, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant does not provide support as mentioned in claim 3 for the chamber to have an opening that is not sufficiently large to allow the chair to refill with air.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/074,597

Art Unit: 3636

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,12-16, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davis et al.

Davis et al show a furniture assembly comprising a chair (1) comprising an air permeable bladder (2), a filler disposed within the air permeable bladder, and a vacuum chamber has a partial opening.

With claim 2, the partially opened vacuum chamber has a portion that is gathered together without forming an airtight seal.

With claim 3, the partially opened vacuum chamber has an opening that is not sufficient to allow the chair to refill with air.

With claim 4, the partial opening allows the chair to partially refill with air.

With claim 12, a storage container has an opening to receive the chair and vacuum chamber.

With claim 13, the storage container is constructed from an air permeable material.

With claim 14, the storage container is constructed of an air impermeable material.

With claim 15, a minimal amount of air is allowed inside the vacuum chamber.

With claim 16, the bladder comprises a flaccid material.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,597

Art Unit: 3636

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis et al.

Davis et al disclose the claimed invention except for the chair to be compressed as the following ranges: about 1% to about 99% of the original volume, about 4% to about 50% of the original volume, about 5% to about 25% of the original volume, about 5% to about 15% of the original volume, about 6% to about 99%, about 8% to about 50% of the original volume, and about 10% to about 25% of the original volume. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify the chair to be compressed as the following ranges: about 1% to about 99% of the volume, about 4% to about 50% of the original volume, about 5% to about 25% of the original volume, about 6% to about 99%, about 8% to about 50% of the original volume, and about 10% to

Application/Control Number: 10/074,597

Art Unit: 3636

about 25% of the original volume, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Larger, GB 2214071, Wilcox, Jones, Park, Conley, Lederman, and Chou are cited as showing similar types of furniture assembly.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A Vu whose telephone number is 703-308-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Stephen Vu

December 27, 2003

løsken Vu